Water samples	No growth f (%)	Growth f(%)
Drinking Water (n =15)	11 (73.3)	4 (26.7)
Wastewater (n =15)	0	15 (100)
Total (N=30)	11 (36.7)	19 (63.3)

 Table 1: Growth on culture from water samples (N=30)

**Table 2:** Pattern of bacterial growth isolated from water samples

Water samples	Single organism f (%)	Two organisms f (%)	More than Two organisms f (%)
<b>Drinking water</b> (n =15)	3 (20.0)	0	1 (6.7)
Wastewater (n =15)	12 (80.0)	2 (13.3)	1 (6.7)

Table 3: Bacteria isolated from water samples

Name of organisms	Drinking water (n=15) f (%)	Wastewater (n=15) f (%)
Pseudomonas spp. (n=9)	3 (33.3)	6 (66.7)
Enterobacter spp. (n=7)	1 (14.3)	6 (85.7)
Escherichia coli (n=5)	0	5 (100.0)
Citrobacter freundii (n=1)	0	1 (100.0)
Citrobacter koseri (n=1)	0	1 (100.0)
Acinetobacter spp. (n=1)	1 (100.0)	0
Klebsiella oxytoca (n=1)	1 (100.0)	0
Total (n=25)	6 (24.0)	19 (76.0)

Strain				B	iochemical test	s				Isolated organism
code	Catalase	Oxidase	TSI	Gas Production	H <sub>2</sub> S Production	Motility	Indole	Urease production	Citrate	
DW06	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
DW07	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
DW09	+		aci/aci	+++				+	+	Klebsiella oxytoca
	+		aci/aci			+			+	Enterobacter spp.
DW13	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
	+		alk/alk						+	Acinetobacter spp

## Table 4: Biochemical test results for bacteria isolated from drinking water samples

#### Table 5: Biochemical test results for bacteria isolated from wastewater samples

					Biochemical test	s				Isolated organism
	Catalase	Oxidase	TSI	Gas Production	H <sub>2</sub> S Production	Motility	Indole	Urease production	Citrate	
WW-01	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
WW-02	+		aci/aci	+++		+	+			Esch coli
	+		aci/aci							Enterobacter spp.
WW-03	+		aci/aci	++		+			+	Enterobacter spp.
WW-04	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
WW-05	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
WW-06	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
WW-07	+		aci/aci	++		+	+			Esch coli
WW-08	+		aci/aci	++		+	+			Esch coli
	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
WW-09	+		aci/aci	++		+	+			Citrobacter freundii
WW-10	+		aci/aci	+++		+			+	Esch coli
WW-11	+		aci/aci	++	+	+	+		+	Citrobacter koseri
	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Enterobacter spp.
WW-12	+		aci/aci	++		+	+			Esch coli
	+	+	alk/alk			+			+	Pseudomonas spp
WW-13	+		aci/aci	+		+			+	Enterobacter spp.
WW-14	+		aci/aci	+		+			+	Enterobacter spp.
WW-15	+		aci/aci			+			+	Enterobacter spp.

Table 6: Antibiotic sensitivity	test results for bacteria isolated	from drinking water samples

Strain	Strain Name of organisms		Antibiotic sensitivity test										
code		AK	AMC	AMP	AT	CFM	CIP	CTR	CXM	GEN	IPM	MRP	
DW6	Pseudomonas spp	S	-	-	Ι	-	S	-	-	S	Ι	S	
DW7	Pseudomonas spp	Ι	-	-	R	-	S	-	-	S	Ι	S	
DW9	Klebsiella oxytoca	S	R	R	S	R	-	R	R	S	R	S	

	Enterobacter spp.	S	R	-	-	R	S	R	R	S	S	S
DW13	Pseudomonas spp	R	-	-	S	-	S	-	-	R	Ι	s
	Acinetobacter spp	R	R	-	S	-	S	R	-	R	R	s

(AK-amikacin, AMC-amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, AMP-ampicillin, AT- aztreonam, CFMcefuroxime, CIP- ciprofloxacin, CTR-ceftriaxone, CXM- cefixime, GEN- gentamicin, IPM- imipenem, MRP- Meropenem)

Strain	Name of						Antibi	otic sens	itivity to	est				
code	organisms	AK	AMC	AMP	AT	CIP	CFC	CFM	COT	CTR	CXM	GEN	IPM	MRP
WW-01	Pseudomonas spp	S			Ι	Ι	R			R		S	Ι	R
	Esch coli	S	R		S	R		R		R	R	S	Ι	S
WW-02	Enterobacter spp.	S	R			R		R	R	R	R	S	S	S
WW-03	Enterobacter spp.	R				R			R	R	R	R	R	R
WW-04	Pseudomonas spp	S			Ι	R	R			R		S	R	R
WW-05	Pseudomonas spp	S	R		R	R	R			R		R	R	R
WW-06	Pseudomonas spp	Ι			R	Ι				R		Ι	R	R
WW-07	Esch coli	Ι	R			S			S	R	S	S	Ι	S
WW-08	Esch coli	Ι	R			R		R	Т	R	R	R	R	S
WW-09	Pseudomonas spp	R	Ι		R	R	R			R		R	R	R
W W-09	Citrobacter freundii	S	R			S			R	R	R	R		R
WW-10	Esch coli	Ι	R		S	Ι		R	R	Ι	S	Ι	Ι	S
WW-11	Citrobacter koseri	R	R			R			R	R	R	Ι		R
	Enterobacter spp.	S	R			R		R	R	R	R	S	S	S
WW-12	Esch coli	S	R		S	R		R		R	R	S	Ι	S
	Pseudomonas spp	S	R		R	R	R			R		R	R	R
WW-13	Enterobacter spp.	R	R			R			R	R	R	R	Ι	S
WW-14	Enterobacter spp.	S	R			S		R	R	R		S	Ι	S
WW-15	Enterobacter spp.	S	R			S			Ι	R	R	R	Ι	R

Table 7: Antibiotic sensitivity test results for bacteria isolated from wastewater samples

(AK-amikacin, AMC-amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, AMP-ampicillin, AT- aztreonam, CIPciprofloxacin, CFC- cefepime with clavulanic acid, CFM- cefuroxime, COT- co-trimoxazole, CTR-ceftriaxone, CXM- cefixime, GEN- gentamicin, IPM- imipenem, MRP- Meropenem)

Strain	Name of organisms	Suspected Genes									
code			CTX- 15	IMP	VIM	КРС	OXA1	NDM1			
DW6	Pseudomonas spp	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
DW7	Pseudomonas spp	X	Х	Х	Х	$\checkmark$	Х	Х			
DW9	Klebsiella oxytoca	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
DW13	Acinetobacter spp.	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			

**Table 8:** Suspected Gene detection for resistant bacteria isolated from drinking water samples

Table 9: Suspected Gene detection for bacteria isolated from wastewater samples

Strain	Name Of Organisms										
Code	By Culture	SHV	CTX- 15	IMP	VIM	KPC	OXA1	NDM1			
WW1	Pseudomonas spp.	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	$\checkmark$			
WW 2	Esch. Coli	Х		Х	Х	$\checkmark$	Х	Х			
WW 3	Enterobacter spp,	X		Х	Х		Х	Х			
WW 4	Pseudomonas spp.	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
WW 5	Pseudomonas spp.	X	X	Х			Х	Х			
WW 6	Pseudomonas spp.		X	Х	Х		Х	Х			
WW 7	Esch Coli	X		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
WW 8	Esch Coli			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
WW 9	Citrobacter Freundi	X		Х			Х	Х			
WW 10	Esch Coli	X		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
WW 11	Citrobacter Koseri	X	X	Х	Х		Х	Х			
WW 12	Pseudomonas spp,			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
WW 13	Enterobacter spp	X			$\checkmark$	Х	Х	Х			
WW 14	Enterobacter spp	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
WW 15	Enterobacter spp	X	X	Х	Х		Х	Х			

Table 10: Specific diagnostic information for assessment of Risk

	Sanitary Inspection Risk Score (Y=1/N=0)													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
SL NO.	ID NO.	Is there any point of leakage between source and reservoir 2	Is there any cover in reserve tank?	If there is a cover, is it damaged or inadequate to prevent contaminatio n?	Distance between service point and reservoir ?	Are there any leak in the distributio n network?	In the reservoir crack or eroded or unclean?	Is there any leakage in reserve tank?	Is there any sanitation within 10 meters of the pipeline(e .g. Latrines,	Is this reservoi r cleaned within a month?	How often is the reservoir cleaned?	Is there any authorit y that inspects the Reserve Tank?	Total score (out of 11) Y=1, N=0	

									sewers, septic tank or burial ground)				
1	DWR 01	Ν	Y	Ν	100 MT	N	Y	N	N	Ν	yearly	N	4
2	DWR 02	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	Ν	6months interval	N	4
3	DWR 03	N	Y	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	6m-yearly	N	2
4	DWR 04	Ν	Y	NA	Y	NK	N	N	N	Ν	yearly	N	3
5	DWR 05	Ν	Y	Y	200 MT	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	6months interval	Ν	4
6	DWR 06	Ν	Y	Ν	200FT	N	N	N	N	Ν	yearly	NA	3
7	DWR 07	N	Y	N	NA	N	NA	N	Y	Ν	yearly	N	3
8	DWR 08	N	Y	N	NA	N	N	N	Y	Ν	3months	Y	4
9	DWR 09	N	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Y	Ν	yearly	N	4
10	DWR 10	Ν	Y	Ν	1 METER	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	yearly	Y	4
11	DWT0 1	N	Y	N	100 MT	N	Y	N	N	Ν	yearly	N	4
12	DWT0 2	Ν	Y	N	Y	NK	N	N	Y	Ν	6months interval	Ν	4
13	DWT0 3	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	3
14	DWS0 1	N	N	Y	200 MT	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	6months interval	Ν	3
15	DWS0 2	Ν	Y	Ν	200FT	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	yearly	Y	4

Table 11: Sanitary inspection risk score classification

Hazard score	Risk interpretation	Findings n (%)
0-2	Low risk	2 (18.1)
3-5	Intermediate risk	9 (90.9)
6-8	High risk	0
≥ 9	Very high risk	0

medRxiv pro (which wa	eprint do Vittes //dai.org/10.1101/2024.04.12.24305711 this version posted Artic 15.2024. The convicient holder for this preprint as not certified by peer review) is the authoriturider, who has granted medicate a display the preprint in perpetuity.
2	organisms from drinking water and wastewater in
3	a metropolitan city
4	
5	Khursheda Akhtar <sup>1</sup> , Nasreen Farhana <sup>2</sup> , Md. Alamgir Hossain <sup>3</sup> , Fahmida
6 7	Khanam <sup>4</sup>
8	1. Associate Professor' Public Health and Hospital Administration' National Institute of
9	Preventive and Social Medicine (NIPSOM), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh. Email:
10	dr.khursheda1974@gmail.com
11	2. Associate professor, Department of Microbiology and Mycology, National Institute of
12	Preventive and Social Medicine (NIPSOM), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh.
13 14	Email:nas.farhana19@gmail.com 3. Deputy Chief microbiologist and Head, Department of Microbiology and Chemical, Water
14	Supply and Sewerage Authority (WASA), Dhaka1207, Bangladesh. Email:
16	mh1967alamgir@gmail.com
17	4. Associate professor, Department of Microbiology and Mycology, National Institute of
18	Preventive and Social Medicine (NIPSOM), Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh. Email:
19 20	fahmidak2@gmail.com
20 21	<b>Running Title:</b> AMR in drinking and wastewater
21 22	Kummig The. AWK in uniking and wastewater
22	Abstract:
23 24	Abstract.
25	Background
26	Antimicrobial resistant (AMR) organisms in environment may harm people. This
27	study assessed the phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of AMR organisms from
28	drinking and wastewater.
29 30	Materials and methods
31	This cross-sectional study conducted randomly on 30 samples (15 drinking water
32	samples from household places; 15 sewage lifts stations) and collected aseptically,
33	filtered, inoculated and isolated from culture plates, identified biochemically of
34	pathogenic bacteria, and disc diffusion tested for antibiotic susceptibility. The primers
35	of the targeted antimicrobial resistance genes were used for molecular amplification.
36	Results
37	Twenty-five bacteria were isolated from 30 drinking and wastewater samples.
38	Pseudomonas spp. (36%), Enterobacter spp. (28%), Escherichia coli (20%), Citrobacter
39	spp.(4%), Acinetobacter spp (4%) and Klebsiella oxytoca (4%) were isolated. Most of
40	the isolates exhibited resistance to multiple groups of antibiotics, with meropenem,
41	imipenem, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, and amikacin showing the highest sensitivity
42	against the isolates. Multiplex PCR confirmed the presence of two ESBL genes

medR43 prep(*ibl d*SHWs and *bb/d*CTX-M24.5) and five carbageine masse agries. (*bla*IMPcob/*ibl* MMeb/*ibl* KPC/*ipl*) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. *bla*OXA1, *bla*NDM1) in resistant bacteria and *bla*CTX-M-15 (53.3%) followed by *bla*KPC (46.7%) genes were the most prevalent from drinking and wastewater samples
respectively. According to WHO's sanitary inspection risk score classification, 60% of
drinking water samples scored 4 out of 11, categorizing them as intermediate risk based
on hazard score.

## 49 Conclusions

- 50 This study addresses antimicrobial resistance in the environment, emphasizing public
- 51 health implications; advocating for improved environmental regulations to mitigate
- 52 AMR organism discharge through wastewater and drinking water.
- 53

# 54 The significance of the study:55

56 The study attempted to determine the pattern of antimicrobial resistance of 57 microorganisms using phenotypic and genotypic methods by polymerase chain 58 reaction (PCR), targeting particular genes with specific sequence of primers. As in 59 Bangladesh very few studies for antimicrobial resistance organisms from drinking 60 water and wastewater around household and hospital environments in Dhaka city, yet 61 finished to change public health perspectives, and inform respective authorities for 62 making decision. Thus the research contributes to generating some evidence-based 63 information about the reservoir of antimicrobial resistance in environment.

64

65 Keywords: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR), Drinking water, Wastewater,

- 66 Molecular characterization, PCR
- 67

## 68 **Introduction**:

70 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has emerged as one of the most serious public health 71 issues of the twenty-first century, threatening the effective prevention and treatment 72 of an ever-increasing range of infections caused by bacteria, parasites, viruses, and 73 fungi that are no longer susceptible to common antimicrobials including antibiotics, antivirals, antifungals and anti-parasites.<sup>1</sup> The World Health Organization (WHO) has 74 75 long recognised the need for an improved and coordinated global effort to contain 76 AMR. In 2001, the WHO Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance has provided a framework of interventions to slow the emergence and 77 reduce the spread of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms.<sup>2</sup> In 2012, WHO 78

medRX9 preprint/bishted://TherefEvolvi/g24Tireat43657AnthiniversibilidstRevisitan 2024. ToptionishtholdeAtoribis preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
 80 proposing a combination of interventions that include strengthening health systems
 81 and surveillance; improving use of antimicrobials in hospitals and in community;
 82 infection prevention and control; encouraging the development of appropriate new
 83 drugs and vaccines; and political commitment.<sup>3</sup>

84 During the last years, the importance of the environment in the spread of antibiotic 85 resistance has been widely recognised. Water is a major way of dissemination of 86 bacteria between different environmental compartments. Infectious diseases caused 87 by pathogenic bacteria, viruses and parasites (e.g. protozoa and helminths) are the 88 most common and widespread health risk associated with drinking-water.<sup>4</sup> Large 89 amounts of antibiotics are released into municipal wastewater due to incomplete 90 metabolism in human beings or due to disposal of unused antibiotics. Some available 91 data show that antibiotic resistant bacteria and antibiotic-resistant genes can be 92 detected in wastewater samples and that the conditions in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are favourable for the proliferation of resistant bacteria.<sup>5</sup> 93

94 The public health burden is determined by the severity and incidence of the illnesses 95 associated with these pathogens, their infectivity and the population exposed. The 96 threat AMR poses on global public health necessitates mitigation of dissemination of AMR bacteria, and therefore the identification of possible dissemination routes.<sup>6</sup> 97 98 Breakdown in water supply safety (source, treatment and distribution) may lead to 99 large-scale contamination and potentially to detectable disease outbreaks. In some 100 cases, low-level, potentially repeated contamination may lead to significant sporadic 101 disease, but public health surveillance is unlikely to identify contaminated drinkingwater as the source.<sup>4</sup> 102

103 AMR occurs when bacteria, viruses, fungi and parasites change over time and no 104 longer respond to medicines making infections harder to treat and increasing the risk of disease spread, severe illness and death. The main drivers of antimicrobial 105 106 resistance include the misuse and overuse of antimicrobials; lack of access to clean 107 water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) for both humans and animals; poor infection 108 and disease prevention and control in health-care facilities and farms; poor access to 109 quality, affordable medicines, vaccines and diagnostics; lack of awareness and knowledge; and lack of enforcement of legislation.<sup>7,8</sup> 110

medRkiv prepTheoOmesHealth/120000211 bringer305221their mealthinglestee boorts5an24sTakeboortidet bootengagetid preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 112 in human, terrestrial and aquatic animal and plant health, food and feed production 113 and the environment to communicate and work together in the design and 114 implementation of programmes, policies, legislation and research to attain better 115 public health outcomes.<sup>9</sup>

116

117 Currently, resistant infections result in 700,000 deaths every year, but the global 118 resistance-associated mortality is estimated to top 10 million lives per year in 2050. 119 Along with high income countries (Europe, USA); in low- and middle-income 120 countries, AMR infections have been responsible for the deaths of 58,000 children 121 and 38,000 adults in India and Thailand, respectively.<sup>10</sup>

122

123 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become an emerging issue in the developing 124 countries as well as in Bangladesh<sup>•</sup> A study was conducted by Islam MA (2017) that 125 wastewater samples from hospital-adjacent areas (HAR) and from community areas 126 (COM), as well as public tap water samples, for the occurrence and characteristics of 127 New Delhi metallo -1(NDM-1) producing bacteria. Of 72 HAR samples tested, 51 128 (71%) samples were positive for NDM-1-producing bacteria, as evidenced by 129 phenotypic tests and the presence of the *blaNDM-1* gene, compared to 5 of 41 130 (12.1%) samples from COM samples (P 0.001). Klebsiella pneumoniae (44%) was the predominant bacterial species among *blaNDM-1-positive* isolates, followed by 131 132 *Escherichia coli* (29%), *Acinetobacter* spp. (15%), and *Enterobacter* spp. (9%).<sup>11</sup>

133

134 These bacteria were also positive for one or more other antibiotic resistance genes, 135 including *bla*CTX-M-1 (80%), *bla*CTX-M-15 (63%), *bla*TEM (76%), *bla*SHV (33%), 136 blaCMY-2 (16%), blaOXA-48-like (2%), blaOXA-1 (53%), and blaOXA-47-like (60%) genes.<sup>12</sup> In another study by Toleman (2012) found that, in Bangladesh, the 137 138 blaNDM-1 gene were in 62% of environmental waters and in fermentative and non-139 fermentative gram-negative bacteria. Resistance genes and plasmid profiles for a 140 subset of Escherichia coli strains was found in a study of extensively drug-resistant NDM-1–encoding bacteria in the environment, Dhaka, Bangladesh.<sup>13</sup> The presence of 141 142 NDM-1  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing bacteria in environmental samples in New Delhi has 143 important implications for people living in the city who are reliant on public water 144 and sanitation facilities.<sup>14</sup>

145

medP4Ky prepArs opretrio (several several sev

152

153 The selective pressure associated with the exposure to antimicrobials in healthcare, 154 agriculture and the environment enhances the development of new AMR variants and novel resistance mechanisms.<sup>21</sup> Transmission of AMR can spread between people, 155 animals and the environment via a number of different routes.<sup>22</sup> The environment acts 156 157 as a bridge for different compartments, between animals to compost to soil to water to sediments to sewage.<sup>23</sup> While the environment acts as the reservoir, it also works 158 159 simultaneously to mix mobile genetic elements (MGEs) that interact and diffuse into other parts or into human and animal hosts.<sup>22, 24,25</sup> 160

161

Non-judicial use of antibiotics is considered to be most significant reason for the emergence selection and spreading of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in both animals and humans. Via food and water antibiotics can lead to the emergence and dissemination of different resistant bacteria. Wastewater contains the faecal material with E coli; simultaneously wastewaters are the prominent source of antibiotic resistance bacteria.

167 In Dhaka city 30 % sewage are only treated rests 70% are untreated and excrete into 168 environment, and hospital waste as well. There is limited information about the 169 Extended- Spectrum Beta Lactamase (ESBL) gene carriage in Bangladesh in environment particularly in drinking and wastewater.<sup>26</sup> AMR is a complex problem 170 171 that requires a united multi sectorial approach. Without AMR containment, the 172 Sustainable Development Goals for 2030- such as ending poverty, ending hunger ensuring healthy lives, reducing inequality and revitalizing global development 173 partnerships are less likely to be achieved.<sup>27</sup> 174

175

176 It is critical to strengthen and harmonize the AMR surveillance through the 177 development of agreed epidemiological and microbiological methods, the adoption of 178 common definitions to enhance the ability to share and compare resistance 179 information, and to attain a better coordination of the surveillance networks. To 180 identify the magnitude of problem, this is also true for policies to prevent med Psilv preprior data the difference of the di

183

185

#### 184 **Objectives** :

General objective: To detect the pattern of organisms in wastewater and drinking
water with the extent of their antibiotic resistance and presence of resistance genes

#### 189 Specific objectives:

- 1) To isolate and identify of pathogenic organisms in drinking and wastewater
- 191 2) To detect antimicrobial resistant organisms phenotypically [by double disc
  192 synergy test (DDS), combined disc (CD) assay, and modified Hodge test (MHT)]
  193 from drinking and wastewater

3) To identify antimicrobial resistance gene by multiplex PCR (genotype encoding *bla*SHV, *bla*CTX-M15 genes for beta-lactamases producers and *bla*OXA1, *bla*NDM-1, *bla*VIM, *bla*IMP and *bla*KPC genes for carbapenemase producers )

197

## 198 **Rationale:** 199

200 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the major issue posing a serious global health threat. Low- and middle-income countries are likely to be the most affected both in 201 terms of impact on public health and economic burden.<sup>27</sup> The cost of AMR to the 202 203 economy is significant. In addition to death and disability, prolonged illness results in 204 longer hospital stays, the need for more expensive medicines and financial challenges 205 for those impacted. Without effective antimicrobials, the success of modern medicine 206 in treating infections, including during major surgery and cancer chemotherapy, 207 would be at increased risk. Recently, the World Health Organization (WHO) Global 208 Antimicrobial Surveillance System (GLASS) reported increased levels of resistance 209 in a number of serious and common bacterial infections in many regions of the world.<sup>29</sup> 210

Anthropogenic activities, hospital effluents, industrial wastes, domestic sewage, and urban/agricultural runoffs represent a significant source of emerging biological contaminants including pathogenic organisms, antibiotic residues, antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB) and antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) in aquatic ecosystems.<sup>30</sup> The uncontrolled use of antibiotics exposes bacteria to antibiotics, which leads to medPk6 prepsinble ditent(doi acqitine di 2.263) Standeis vehicue di acqitine di 2.263) Standeis vehicue di acqitine di 2.263 Standeis vehicue di 2.263 standei se di 2.263 standei se di 2.263 standei se di 2.263 standei di 2.263 standei se di 2.263 standei di 2.

224

225 The selective pressure associated with the exposure to antimicrobials in healthcare, 226 agriculture and the environment enhances the development of new AMR variants and 227 novel resistance mechanisms. Transmission of AMR can spread between people, 228 animals and the environment via a number of different routes. While the environment acts as the reservoir, it also works simultaneously to mix mobile genetic elements 229 (MGEs) that interact and diffuse into other parts or into human and animal hosts.<sup>33</sup> 230 231 Beta-lactams are most widely used antibiotics including natural and synthetic 232 penicillin and their derivatives; resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics is of special concern for human being.<sup>34</sup> Human infection due to ESBL producing bacteria is 233 234 associated with increased mortality, morbidity, high cost of hospitalization and delay 235 appropriate therapy. Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. are often common ESBL 236 producers isolated in environment. These bacteria are resistant to penicillins, 237 cephalosporins and aztreonam mainly due to the production of CTX-M, TEM and 238 SHV β-lactamases, which are encoded by *bla*CTX-M, *bla*SHV, and TEM genes respectively.<sup>35</sup> There is limited information about environmental factor especially 239 waste and drinking water on ESBL/MRSA gene carriage in Bangladeshi. 240

241

Thus the research contributes to generating some evidence-based information about 242 243 the reservoir of antimicrobial resistance in environment. The implications of our 244 findings are likely to be significant from a public health standpoint. The urgent need 245 to place efficient wastewater treatment plants in health care settings as part of 246 biosecurity programs. More work should be done to understand the spread of MDR 247 bacteria, including the ESBL/NDM-1-producing ones in the environment and the 248 resultant health implications. In future with these samples further research (genomic 249 sequencing) was done.

med S(1) preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.12.24305711; this version posted April 15, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

### 251 Methodology:

252 This was a cross sectional study on environmental samples (drinking water from 253 household and wastewater from sewage lift stations near hospitals) in Dhaka city at 254 National Institute of Preventive and Social Medicine, Mohakhali, Dhaka, Bangladesh. 255 A total 30 samples was collected, among them 15 samples of wastewater and 15 256 samples of drinking water. In Dhaka city, WASA distribute the service by 10 MODS zone<sup>36</sup> and from these zones, 5 MODS zones were selected randomly. For wastewater, 257 258 10 sample of wastewater randomly select from 28 sewage lift stations in Dhaka city 259 and five (05) samples purposively selected from sewage lift station near the hospitals 260 (wastewater drains within 3 mile of boundaries of the large public/private hospitals or 261 clinics). For drinking water, 15 samples were selected from 15 point of use 262 (household reservoirs/ public water supply that local residents use for drinking, 263 washing, and food preparation) randomly by using Google map. A structured 264 questionnaire and checklist was approached for sample collection and laboratory 265 purpose.

Sample collection procedure: The sample from a drinking tap or wastewater were collected after maintaining aseptic precaution according to standard guidelines.<sup>37</sup> Immediately after collection, samples were placed in an insulated ice boxes for transport to NIPSOM laboratory, Mohakhali, Dhaka. Water samples were examined as soon as possible on arrival and always within 6 hours of collection. Long distance sample was dipped into Cary *Bla*ir transport medium (Hi Media Laboratories, Mumbai, India) before shifting into the laboratory.

#### 273 Bacterial isolation and identification:

274 The water samples were filtered with 0.02 micron filter paper and then inoculated in 275 5mL of nutrient broth and incubated overnight at 37°C and the swabs from the suspected 276 growth of the broths were then inoculated in non-selective culture plates and incubated again overnight at 37°C.<sup>38</sup> The isolated colonies was further streaked on 277 various differentiation agar media (i.e. MacConkey agar, Chromogenic Coliform 278 279 Agar) for selective bacterial isolates. All the isolated organisms were identified by 280 their colony morphology, hemolytic criteria, staining characters. Gram stained smear 281 was examined for presence and arrangement of the organisms for presumptive 282 identification and to correlate these findings with results of biochemical tests. Gram 283 positive isolates was also differentiated by arrangement in Gram staining, colonial 284 characteristics, catalase test and coagulase tests. Gram negative bacteria was

med 85 prepridenti field //ased/10.1001071.11.1201071.01 varies arrelopigsteen writitis 2004.idtasconveight boldawith this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 286 42°c, carbohydrate fermentation, H<sub>2</sub>S production, citrate utilization, motility, indole

formation, and urea hydrolysis.<sup>37</sup> 287

#### 288 Antimicrobial sensitivity test:

289 This test was performed by Kirby-Bauer modified disc diffusion method on Mueller-290 Hinton agar media according to the standards published by the Clinical and 291 Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and inoculated plates was incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. After 24 hours incubated each plate was examined to ensure the 292 confluence growth of organism<sup>39</sup> and evaluated with standard chart of CLSI guideline 293 into sensitive, intermediate and resistant categories on the basis of zone of inhibition 294 295 around the disc.<sup>40</sup> To assess the performance of the method, *Esch. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a control strain. <sup>39</sup> 296

#### 297 Phenotypic detection of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria

298 Antimicrobial-resistant Gram positive bacteria was phenotypically detected with 299 standard disc diffusion method as per CLSI standards i.e. Methicillin resistance for 300 staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) using oxacillin (1µg) and cefoxitin (30 µg) disc, 301 vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) using vancomycin (30 µg) disc and extended 302 spectrum beta lactamases (ESBL) producing Gram negative bacilli and 303 carbapenemase producing enterobacteriaecae (CRE) by double disc synergy test (DDS), combined disc (CD) assay, and modified Hodge test (MHT) respectively.<sup>41.42</sup> 304

Molecular characterization of antibiotic-resistant bacteria: The conventional 305 306 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method was applied for molecular characterization and freshly cultured isolates bacteria was used to prepare template deoxyribonucleic 307 acid (DNA) by the boiling method.<sup>43</sup> The bacterial samples were grown on nutrient 308 309 agar plates and a single colony was inoculated in the nutrient broth. The cultures was 310 grown overnight and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature and the 311 bacterial pellet was washed with Tris buffer (1M Tris-hydrochloride [HCl], 0.1M 312 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] and 0.1 M sodium chloride [NaCl]). The 313 pellet was suspended in 300 µl of sterile distilled water into eppendorf tubes and 314 vortexed until mixed. The tubes were heated at 100°C for 10 minutes in a heat block 315 (DAIHA Scientific, Seoul, Korea). After heating, immediately the eppendorf tubes 316 were placed on ice for 5 minutes and then centrifuged at 14,000 g at 4°C for 6 317 minutes. The supernatant were taken into another micro centrifuge tube by micropipette and were used for DNA template. The extracted DNA was preserved at 318 4°C for 7-10 days and -20°C for long time.<sup>44</sup> The sequences of designed primers 319

med R20) preprint doithings blaSHW0. 1010/2022 4M2 P336771 blaiOX4201 preprint Spfio15b2034 later annusists provided for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 321 and blaNDM-1, blaVIV, blaIMP and blaKPC genes for carbapenemase producers<sup>27,28</sup>. downloaded from the GenBank database was used in this study (Table 322 323 2). Primers were mixed with Tris- EDTA (TE) buffer according to manufacturer's 324 instruction. PCR was performed in a final reaction volume of 25ul in a PCR tube, 325 containing 10 µl of mastermix [premixed mixture of 50 units/ml of Taq DNA 326 polymerase supplied in a proprietary reaction buffer (pH 8.5), 400µM dNTP, 3mM 327 MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Promega corporation, USA), 1 µl forward primer and 1 µl reverse primer 328 (Promega corporation, USA), 3 µl extracted DNA and 14 µl nuclease free water for 329 monoplex PCR. After a brief vortex, the PCR tubes were centrifuged in a micro 330 centrifuge machine for few seconds. PCR assays were performed in a DNA thermal 331 cycler. Each cycle of PCR reaction consists of initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 332 minutes followed by annealing temperature which varied in different reaction 333 followed by elongation at 72°C for 1 min. After 35 cycles final extension was done at 334 72°C for 10 minutes. Then the product was held at 4°C. After amplification, products 335 were processed for gel documentation by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel. After 336 electrophoresis, the gel was stained with ethidium bromide (10 µl ethidium bromide 337 in 100 ml distilled water) for 30 minutes. It was then de-stained with sterile distilled 338 water for 15 minutes. The gel was then removed from the tray and observed under UV 339 Transilluminator (Gel Doc, Major science, Taiwan) for DNA bands. The DNA bands 340 were identified according to their molecular size by comparing with the molecular 341 weight marker (100 bp DNA ladder) loaded in a separate lane. Samples showing the 342 presence of corresponding bp band were considered positive for the presence of that organism. Exact band size was calculated from a log graph.<sup>42-48</sup> 343

#### 344 Statistical analysis:

345 Data was verified, entered and subsequently analyzed using Statistical Package for 346 Social Science (SPSS) software of recent version. Then, responses were initiated with 347 descriptive analysis. Frequencies, percentage, mean, median, mode, range, and 348 standard deviation were calculated for continuous variables, while frequencies and 349 percentages were calculated for categorial variables. Tables and graphs were used to 350 present the data.

351

#### 352 **Ethical considerations:**

Prior to doing data collection, ethical clearance was obtained from Ethical review
committee of BMRC (Memo no.: BMRC/HPNSP-Research Grant/2022-2023/613(1-

med555 prepils doi: Date/doi15001.2023)24. Permission: the aversion context from 15, the 4. The preprint in perpenditive preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
(DWASA)(Memo no.- 46.113.519/520.00.00.154.2023.443.mi.chem.dept.;
Date:29.03.2026) for wastewater collection and a signed informed consent was taken
from the household convincing that privacy was maintained. For safeguarding
confidentiality and protecting anonymity each of the samples was given a special ID
no. which was followed in collection, transport to lab and reporting, in each and every
step of the procedure.

362

363 **Results**:

#### 364 Isolation pattern of pathogenic bacteria:

365 A total of 19 (63.3%) growths were yielded from the culture of 30 water samples 366 examined. Among them, four (26.7%) isolates out of 15 drinking water and 15 367 (100%) isolates from all the wastewater samples were detected (Table1). The drinking 368 water yielded single organism from 3 (20%) of samples while one (6.7%) sample was 369 identified with more than two organisms. On the other hand, the wastewater yielded 370 single organism from twelve (80.0%) samples, two organisms from two (13.3%)371 samples and more than two organisms were detected from one (6.7%) samples (Table 372 2). A total of 25 organisms were isolated from 30 samples of both drinking and 373 wastewater and the predominant bacteria were Pseudomonas spp. (36%) followed by 374 Enterobacter spp. (28%), Escherichia coli (20.0%), Citrobacter freundii (4.0%), 375 Citrobacter koseri (4.0%), Acinetobacter spp (4.0%) and Klebsiella oxytoca (4.0%) 376 (Table 3). The isolated pathogenic bacteria from drinking and wastewater samples 377 were then identified by relevant biochemical tests (Table 4 and 5)

378

379 380

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility tests

381 The isolates were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility tests by disc diffusion 382 against 13 different antimicrobial agents in order to evaluate their resistance patterns. 383 The phenotypic susceptibilities of the isolates against the different tested 384 antimicrobial agents have been summarized in Table 6 (of drinking water) and Table 385 7 (of wastewater). The majority of the isolates exerted resistance to more than one 386 group of antibiotics. The result of disc diffusion susceptibility testing revealed that 387 most sensitive antimicrobials were meropenem, imipenem, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, 388 and amikacin.

#### 389 Detection of resistance genes among drinking samples

med 900 prep Theoid subative worke. Sub free ted. 102 (100 for (1); shis precised on DSB Dril and 2024r The permy right solver of this interprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 391 resistance genes by PCK in order to evaluate their resistance patterns. Table 8 shows

distribution of two ESBL encoding genes (*bla*SHV and *bla*CTX-M-15) and five carbapenemase encoding genes (*bla*IMP, *bla*VIM, *bla*KPC, *bla*OXA1 and blaNDM1) among suspected resistant bacteria isolated from drinking water samples. Among four suspected ESBL producers, none were positive for ESBL encoding *bla*SHV and *bla*CTX-M-15 genes. Whereas among four suspected carbapenemase-producing bacteria, only one (25%) (*Pseudomonas* spp) had carbapenemase encoding genes *bla*KPC and others did not.

#### **399 Detection of resistance genes among wastewater samples**

400 The isolates were subjected to detect suspected ESBL and carbapenemase producing 401 resistance genes by PCR in order to evaluate their resistance patterns. Table 9 shows 402 distribution of two ESBL encoding genes (blaSHV and blaCTX-M-15) and five 403 carbapenemase encoding genes (blaIMP, blaVIM, blaKPC, blaOXA1 and blaNDM1) 404 among suspected resistant bacteria isolated from wastewater samples. Among 15 405 suspected ESBL producers, three (20%) and eight (53.3%) were positive for ESBL 406 encoding *bla*SHV and *bla*CTX-M-15 genes respectively. On the other hand, among 15 407 suspected carbapenemase-producing bacteria, *bla*KPC genes were the most prevalent in 408 46.7% organisms whilst blaVIM, blaIMP and blaNDM1were the less common 409 carbapenemase producers constituting 20%, 6.7% and 6.7% among suspected resistant 410 bacteria respectively, and none was found *bla*OXA1 producer.

411 According to sanitary inspection risk score classification by WHO (2011), most of the

412 drinking water samples score 4 (60%) out of 11 and categorized as intermediate risk

413 by hazard score (Table 10).

414

#### 415 **Discussion:**

This study presents the distribution of AMR organism with their resistance genes in drinking water, and wastewater in urban settings in Bangladesh. The high abundance of AMR organisms in wastewater within all settings, and drinking water in the urban area, raise important public health concerns.

420

In the present study, 63.3% of the water samples provided growths, while 26.7% of
the drinking water and all of the wastewater samples yielded growths. Among them,
20%) of the drinking water samples yielded a single organism, whereas one (6.7%)

medRxi/ prepsint piletty i elided of no reothand two 200 gamis into is The iownested Artein 5002h Flort heavitatine bloe i ded by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 425 a single organism from 80.0% of samples, two organisms from 13.3% samples, and 426 more than two organisms from one (6.7%) sample. Moges et al. (2014) reported that 427 85% of the samples were positive to one or more isolates which was higher than our 428 study. They reported that among the total samples 113 bacterial isolates were 429 recovered and 65 (57.5%) were from hospital environment and 48 (42.5%) were from 430 non-hospital environment. <sup>49</sup> Similar findings found in a study conducted in Nepal 431 showed the presence of heterotrophic bacteria in both tap and bottled water samples.<sup>50</sup> 432

- 433 In this study, 25 organisms were isolated from 30 samples of both drinking and 434 wastewater and the predominant bacteria were found as Pseudomonas spp. (36%) followed by Enterobacter spp. (28%), Escherichia coli (20.0%), Citrobacter freundii 435 436 (4.0%), Citrobacter koseri (4.0%), Acinetobacter spp.(4.0%) and Klebsiella oxytoca (4.0%) (Table 3). There are many studies that have shown the presence of 437 different *Pseudomonas* spp. in drinking water.<sup>51-53</sup> Similar findings of this study were 438 439 reported in a study by Mulamattathil et al. (2014) in South Africa reported that 440 Pseudomonas species were isolated in large numbers in raw and treated water. The 441 study demonstrated the occurrence of total coliforms, faecal coliforms, heterotrophic 442 bacteria, and *Pseudomonas* in water samples analysed which indicated the incidence 443 of water contamination as some of these species are indicators of faecal contamination. 54 444
- 445

446 The members belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae, including *E. coli* and *E. cloacae*, are known to be potential pathogens found in soil and water. Although *E. cloacae* is not a primary human pathogen, it has been reported to cause nosocomial infections.<sup>55</sup>

The presence of coliforms like *Escherichia coli* with other enteric bacteria showed faecal contamination of the water, which poses major health risks. Bhum*bla* et al (2020) from India reported that *Esch. coli* was the predominant bacteria along with *Klebsiella* spp. and Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (CONS) respectively in the water which were not similar with the present study. <sup>56</sup>

455

A study by Deji-Agboola et al. in Nigeria revealed the presence of coliforms in the tap
water samples including *E. coli, Klebsiella spp.* and *Enterobacter spp.* which were
similar to our study. <sup>57</sup> In contrast to our study findings, several researchers reported

med 50 prepthadoi: https://dofped/ue/161/20450/ate24305at/tehisurersiowpasted Web 35e2024. Specopy fold/bade for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 460 *Pseudomonas* spp., *E. coli* and Citrobacter spp , and S. aureus (8.2%) which were not

similar findings of this study.<sup>49,58,59</sup> 461

462

463 In a study by Narciso-da-Rocha et al. isolated different species of Acinetobacter from 464 tap water which are considered opportunistic pathogens and very common in nature: 465 soil, water, plants (including fruits and vegetables), animals and organic material in 466 decomposition (sewage). They are also frequently found in water treatment systems 467 and a well-known nosocomial pathogen that causes infections in hospitalized patients.<sup>60</sup> In contrast to our findings Ranbir et al (2020) investigated the tap water 468 469 quality of public toilets in Amritsar, Punjab, India and found that of the 25 isolates, 5 470 were of Bacillus spp., 4 were of *Pseudomonas* spp., as well as E. coli and Enterobacter spp.<sup>58</sup> 471

472

474

#### 473 Antimicrobial susceptibility tests

475 The isolates were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility tests by disc diffusion 476 against 13 different antimicrobial agents and the majority of the isolates exerted 477 resistance to more than one group of antibiotics. The result of disc diffusion 478 susceptibility testing revealed that most sensitive antimicrobials were meropenem, 479 imipenem, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, and amikacin. Different types of multiple 480 antibiotic resistance patterns were observed amongst all the bacterial groups isolated 481 from the various sites. In some cases, some of the isolates were resistance to up to ten 482 different antibiotics.

483

This study also provides evidence of the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in 484 tap water samples of different localities, similar to previous studies. <sup>61-63</sup> Antibiotic 485 resistance among bacterial species is spreading at an alarming rate and the lack of 486 487 effective antibacterial drugs against them is a major concern.

488

489 A study by Mulamattathil et al. (2014) in South Africa revealed that None of the 490 isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin but a large proportion of the environmental 491 isolates were resistant to erythromycin, followed by trimethoprim and amoxicillin.<sup>54</sup> 492 Moges et al. (2014) reported that multiple drug resistance was also common in gram 493 negative isolates to commonly used antibiotics in the study area. All isolates of E.

medDy preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
overall resistance of gram-negative bacteria to ampicifilin was 97%, followed by
cephalotin 49%, cotrimoxazole 38%, tetracycline 37%, naldixic acid 36%, cefotaxime
33%; least resistant being ciprofloxacin 12% which was consistent to our study.

499 Antibiotic resistance in bacteria is mainly because of the indiscriminate use of 500 antibiotics by humans against various bacterial diseases and infections, animal 501 husbandry, and agriculture. The vertical and horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance 502 genes from one bacterium to another of the same or a different genus through the 503 process of conjugation, transformation and transduction may disrupt the microbial balance in favor of resistant bacteria.<sup>64</sup> In most developing countries, effluents are 504 505 discharged from the sewer systems directly into rivers and lakes and a major source of 506 contamination of the aquatic environment. These untreated effluents may contain AR bacteria, which disseminate and potentially transfer their resistance genes.<sup>65</sup> In 507 508 particular, wastewater from pharmaceutical plants could play a role in the selection of 509 antibiotic-resistant bacteria in sewage.<sup>67</sup>

510

511 The presence of Extended Spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs) and Metallo  $\beta$ -lactamases 512 (MBLs) in the isolates from environmental samples has important implications for 513 humans who depend on public water supply and sanitation facilities. Several studies 514 have reported chromosomally encoded efflux mechanisms, abundant in gram negative 515 bacteria and particularly in *Pseudomonas* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp 516 further contribute to raising the antibiotic resistance level and resistance genes in 517 environmental samples. <sup>68,69</sup>

518

519 In our study among suspected resistant bacteria isolated from drinking water samples, 520 none were positive for ESBL encoding blaSHV and blaCTX-M-15 genes. Whereas 521 four suspected carbapenemase-producing bacteria, among only one (25%)522 (Pseudomonas spp) had carbapenemase encoding genes blaKPC and others did not. On 523 the other hand among 15 suspected carbapenemase-producing bacteria isolated from 524 wastewater samples, *bla*KPC genes were the most prevalent in 46.7% organisms whilst 525 blaVIM, blaIMP and blaNDM1were the less common carbapenemase producers 526 constituting 20%, 6.7% and 6.7% among suspected resistant bacteria respectively, and 527 none was found blaOXA1 producer. A study was conducted by Islam MA (2017) among 528 wastewater samples from hospital-adjacent areas (HAR) and from community areas med 329 prep(f(IOIV)) tpas/doi/of1/1as/161/162.0462-3046713 antiplesion posted of mid116 h2025 The 769% right antiples twice preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
positive for NDM-1-producing bacteria, as evidenced by phenotypic tests and the
presence of the blaNDM-1 gene, compared to 5 of 41 (12.1%) samples from COM
samples (P 0.001). Klebsiella pneumoniae (44%) was the predominant bacterial species
among blaNDM-1-positive isolates, followed by Escherichia coli (29%), Acinetobacter
spp. (15%), and Enterobacter spp. (9%).

535

536 These bacteria were also positive for one or more other antibiotic resistance genes, 537 including blaCTX-M-1 (80%), blaCTX-M-15 (63%), blaTEM (76%), blaSHV (33%), 538 blaCMY-2 (16%), blaOXA-48-like (2%), blaOXA-1 (53%), and blaOXA-47-like (60%) 539 genes.12 In another study by Toleman (2012) found that, in Bangladesh, the blaNDM-1 540 gene were in 62% of environmental waters and in fermentative and non-fermentative 541 gram-negative bacteria. Resistance genes and plasmid profiles for a subset of 542 Escherichia coli strains was found in a study of extensively drug-resistant NDM-1-543 encoding bacteria in the environment, Dhaka, Bangladesh.13 The presence of NDM-1 β-544 lactamase-producing bacteria in environmental samples in New Delhi has important 545 implications for people living in the city who are reliant on public water and sanitation 546 facilities.14

547

548 The use of sanitary inspections combined with periodic water quality testing has been recommended in some cases as screening tools for fecal contamination. First 549 550 introduced in 1991 and published in the World Health Organization (WHO) 551 monitoring guidelines in 1993, sanitary inspections have become a common 552 component of global water quality surveillance programs. They were developed to 553 provide a rudimentary comparable method for quantifying risk factors that can 554 contribute to microbiological contamination of water sources. Sanitary inspections 555 include a simple visual assessment of typically around 11 risk factor questions, 556 specific to the source type, which are answered with yes or no responses. Each risk 557 factor question is weighted equally. The sum of all the questions answered "yes" is the 558 sanitary inspection score (SIS). The higher the SIS value the higher the category of risk.<sup>70</sup> According to sanitary inspection risk score classification by WHO, most of the 559 560 drinking water samples score 4 (60%) out of 11 and categorized as intermediate risk 561 by hazard score. In contrast of our study, Murei et al (2023) in India's District 562 Municipality identified as having the highest sanitary risk score in different water 563 sources which were a cause for concern used by community members for domestic

medfor preprint do sense?//diporting. Southern 4 Region 70f: Eichiergia porten Atild 5s and a Fee condition denoted by peer review) is the authol/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
 565 57.6% of the water sources exhibited from intermediate- to very high-risk level which
 demonstrated the sanitary condition of water sources.<sup>72</sup> These findings also help to fill
 highlighted gaps in research on the microbiological quality of wastewater sources.

569

#### 570 **Conclusions:**

571 Our study findings provide an insight into the waterborne transmission of AMR by 572 analyzing the distribution of ARB and ARGs in different aquatic environments in 573 Bangladesh. Despite a greater awareness of environmental AMR, this has not yet been 574 prioritized within environmental health policies or country-level national action plans, 575 especially in LMICs. This study provides baseline information for further 576 investigations into the prevalence of AMR bacteria in hospital and communal 577 effluents and their spread into the aquatic ecosystem, representing potential threats to 578 public health. Since these organisms may be vital to the safety and well-being of 579 patients who are hospitalized as well as individuals who are susceptible to infection. 580 Therefore, water treatment plant should be improved and sanitary measures should be 581 practiced.

### 582 **Limitation:**

In this study, genotyping of AMR with public health importance were implied ratherthan multiple antimicrobial resistances (MAR) profiles.

#### 585 **Recommendations:**

586 Improved sanitary measure with proper wastewater treatment plant should be 587 practiced. To reduce the burden of AMR in the environment, future interventions are 588 needed which include improved biosecurity practices, particularly waste disposal 589 systems, along with improved water, sanitation and hygiene infrastructure in rural and 590 in urban areas. Maintenance of proper sanitary conditions in toilets, cleaning of 591 dustbins, proper air ventilation, and disinfection of floors and chlorination of water 592 can decrease the chances of microbial contamination. In addition, touch-free flushing, 593 taps and door-opening devices should be recommended to avoid pathogen 594 transmission through contact. Materials used in the construction of water distribution 595 systems should be carefully selected to prevent biofilm formation by microbes.

596

597 Mapping of AMR using quantitative microbiological data from connected 598 environmental departments can provide valuable insights into an ecological setting med 509 prepained of his period by be and 11/1292/12/11/2 St2957/11athis ression grated in of his catal. die nonsign solder ACMTR preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 600 It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

601

603

602 **Funding agency**: Bangladesh Medical and Research Council (BMRC)

604 Acknowledgement: This research study is attributed to the Water Supply and 605 Sewerage Authority (WASA), Dhaka, Bangladesh. The authors are indebted to all the 606 medical technologists of the laboratory of NIPSOM for their assistance in 607 microbiology study.

- 608
- 609
- 610
- **References:** 611
- 612 1. Pathog Glob Health. 2015;109(7):309-18. doi: 10.1179/2047773215Y.000000030.
- 613 614
- 615 2. World Health Organization. WHO global strategy for containment of antimicrobial 616 resistance. Geneva: WHO; 2001
- 617
- 618 3. World Health Organization. The evolving threat of antimicrobial resistance. 619 Options for action. Geneva: WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data; 2012
- 620
- 621 4. Edition F. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. WHO chronicle 2011;38(4):104– 622 8.
- 623 5. Bouki C, Venieri D, Diamadopoulos E. Detection and fate of antibiotic resistant 624 bacteria in wastewater treatment plants: a review. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2013;91:1-625 9.
- 626
- 627 6. MARAN. Monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic usage in animals in 628 the Netherlands in 2012.2013. Available: http://www.wageningenur.nl/nl/Expertises-
- 629 Dienstverlening/Onderzoeksinstituten/Central-Veterinary-Institute/Publicaties-
- 630 631 CVI/MARAN-Rapporten.htm
- 632 7. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance
- 633 8. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: 634 Recommendations. Available Final Report and online: https://amr-635 review.org/sites/default/files/160525\_Final%20paper\_ with%20cover.pdf (accessed 636 on 25 June 2019)
- 637
- 638 9. Aslam B, Khurshid M, Arshad M I, Muzammil S, Rasool M, Yasmeen N, et al. 639 Antibiotic Resistance: One Health One World Outlook. Frontiers in Cellular and 640 Infection Microbiology. 2021; vol.11 DOI=10.3389/fcimb.2021.771510
- 641

med∰4≰ßy pre (which wa 643	epfind de entre ald for Disease Ocontrol 4867 Prevention posted Agria 5h7024 Arne biovright Rodes for the preprint as not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted med Rxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. The It Grada available under a The Online:
644	https://www.cdc.gov/globalhealth/infographics/antibiotic-
645	resistance/antibiotic_resistance_global_ threat.htm (accessed on 22 June 2023)
646	-
647	11. Hoque R, Ahmed SM, Naher N, et al. Tackling antimicrobial resistance in
648	Bangladesh: A scoping review of policy and practice in human, animal and
649	environment sectors. PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0227947.
650	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0227947
651	
652	12. Islam MA, Islam M, Hasan R, Hossain MI, Nabi A, Rahman M, et al.
653	Environmental spread of NDM-1- producing multi-drug resistant bacteria in Dhaka,
654	Bangladesh. Appl Environ Microbiology. 2017:AEM. 00793–17.
655	
656	13. Toleman MA, Bugert JJ, Nizam SA. Extensively drug-resistant New Delhi
657	metallo-β-lactamase-encoding bacteria in the environment, Dhaka, Bangladesh,
658	2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(6):1027-1030. doi:10.3201/eid2106.141578
659	
660	14. Walsh TR, Weeks J, Livermore DM, Toleman MA. Dissemination of NDM-1
661	positive bacteria in the New Delhi environment and its implications for human health:
662	an environmental point prevalence study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2011;11(5):355-362.
663	doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70059-7
664	
665	15. Holmes, A.H.; Moore, L.S.; Sundsfjord, A.; Steinbakk, M.; Regmi, S.; Karkey,
666	A.; Guerin, P.J.; Piddock, L.J. Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of
667	antimicrobial resistance. Lancet 2016, 387, 176–187
668	
669	16. Schwartz, K.L.; Morris, S.K. Travel and the Spread of Drug-Resistant Bacteria.
670	Curr. Infect. Dis. 2018, 20, 29.
671	
672	17. Memish, Z.A.; Venkatesh, S.; Shibl, A.M. Impact of travel on international spread
673	of antimicrobial resistance. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2003, 21, 135–142. [CrossRef]
674 675	18. Bell, B.G.; Schellevis, F.; Stobberingh, E.; Goossens, H.; Pringle, M. A systematic
675 676	review and meta-analysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. BMC Infect. Dis. 2014, 14, 13.
676 677	Tesistance. BIVIC Infect. DIS. 2014, 14, 15.
677 678	19. Van Boecke, T.P.; Glennon, E.E.; Chen, D.; Gilbert, M.; Robinson, T.P.;
679	Grenfell, B.T.; Levin, S.A.; Bonhoeffer, S.; Laxminarayan, R. Reducing antimicrobial
680	use in food animals. Science 2017, 357, 1350–1352.
681	use in 1000 annhais. Science 2017, 337, 1330–1332.
682	20. Brinkac, L.; Voorhies, A.; Gomez, A.; Nelson, K.E. The Threat of Antimicrobial
683	Resistance on the Human Microbiome. Microb. Ecol. 2017, 74, 1001–1008.
684	

19

me <b>df&amp;fy</b> pr (which w 686	ep2nt doMmpv/id4i.oh/10C191/Manaplace3057S1; thiMesion poEted AOk 65, 2024. The pribrication older for this preprint as not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. Agriculture andt istraceonsitegerentrical Resistance in Imvitronalizental Sources: Potential
687	Public Health Implications. Molecules 2018, 23, 795.
688	
689	22. Woolhouse, M.; Ward, M.; van Bunnik, B.; Farrar, J. Antimicrobial resistance in
690	humans, livestock and the wider environment. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.
691	2015, 370, 20140083.
692	
693	23. The Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology, H.o.P.U. Reservoir of
694	Antimicrobial Resistance. Available online:
695	https://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/POST-PN-0595
696	(accessed on 26 June 2023).
697	
698	24. Food and Agricultural Organization of United Nations. Antimicrobial Resistance
699	in the Environment: Summary Report of an FAO Meeting of Experts FAO
700	Antimicrobial Resistance Working Group. Available online:
701	http://www.fao.org/3/BU656en/bu656en.pdf (accessed on 26 June 2019). 0-7w
702	
703	25. European Medicine Agency. Reflection Paper on Antimicrobial Resistance in the
704	Environment: Considerations for Current and Future Risk Assessment of Veterinary
705	Medicinal Products (Draft). Available online:
706	https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/draft-reflection-paper-
707	antimicrobial resistance-environment-considerations-current-future-risk_en.pdf
708	(accessed on 26 June 2023).
709	
710	26. Nahar S, Urmi UL, Ali T,Rumnaz A, Haque TA, Ara B, Alam MS, Mosaddek
711	ASM, Islam S. ESBL genes, blaTEM, blaOXA, and blaSHV in poultry gut bacteria:
712	An endemic public health burden in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Med Res Counc
713	
714	27. Jasovský D, Littmann J, Zorzet A, Cars O. Antimicrobial resistance-a threat to the
715	world's sustainable development. Ups J Med Sci. 2016 Aug;121(3):159-64. doi:
716	10.1080/03009734.2016.1195900. Epub 2016 Jul 14. PMID: 27416324; PMCID:
717	PMC4967260.
718	
719	28. Fouz N, Pangesti KN, Yasir M, Al-Malki AL, Azhar EI, Hill-Cawthorne GA, Abd
720	El Ghany M. The contribution of wastewater to the transmission of antimicrobial
721	resistance in the environment: implications of mass gathering settings. Tropical
722	medicine and infectious disease. 2020 Feb 25;5(1):33.
723	https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed5010033
724	
725	29. World Health Organization. Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System
726	(GLASS) Report Early Implementation 2017–2018. Available online:
727	https://www.who.int/glass/resources/publications/earlyimplementation-report-2017-
728	2018/en/ (accessed on 26 June 2023)
729	

med <b>R3(()</b> pr	epB0.doDetwar/afainrgN0.1Laffford.0A12.NAU3711.0K veStammated ApJIP5. M24 atha coPTrighMolledor this preprint as not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. Prabakar K, Ibelimgse BWablPorter A COECUPERCe of the antibiotions resistance genes and
	Prabakar K, Ibelingse BWabl Potter A. COBCUIPERCe offerantibiotions resistance genes and
732	bacterial markers in a tropical river receiving hospital and urban wastewaters. PloS
733	one. 2016 Feb 24;11(2):e0149211.
734	
735	31. Cantón R, Morosini MI. Emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance following
736	exposure to antibiotics. FEMS microbiology reviews. 2011 Sep 1;35(5):977-91.
737	
738	32. Al Salah DM, Laffite A, Poté J. Occurrence of bacterial markers and antibiotic
739	resistance genes in sub-Saharan rivers receiving animal farm wastewaters. Scientific
740	Reports. 2019 Oct 16;9(1):14847.
741	
742	33. Samreen, Iqbal Ahmad, Hesham A. Malak, Hussein H. Abulreesh, Environmental
743	antimicrobial resistance and its drivers: a potential threat to public health, Journal of
744	Global Antimicrobial Resistance,
745	Volume 27, 2021, Pages 101-111, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2021.08.001.
746	24 Deal K Dealford DA 0 Lasterna and 0 Lasterna Labilitana An Occurring Cald
747 748	34. Bush K, Bradford PA. β-Lactams and β-Lactamase Inhibitors: An Overview. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2016 Aug 1;6(8):a025247. doi:
748 749	Spring         Harb         Perspect         Med.         2016         Aug         1;6(8):a025247.         doi:           10.1101/cshperspect.a025247.         PMID:         27329032;         PMCID:         PMC4968164.
749	10.1101/cshperspect.a023247. FMHD. 27529052, FMCID. FMC4908104.
750 751	35. Sawatwong P, Sapchookul P, Whistler T, Gregory CJ, Sangwichian O,
752	Makprasert S, Jorakate P, Srisaengchai P, Thamthitiwat S, Promkong C,
752	Nanvatthanachod P, Vanaporn M, Rhodes J. High Burden of Extended-Spectrum $\beta$ -
754	Lactamase-Producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae Bacteremia in
755	Older Adults: A Seven-Year Study in Two Rural Thai Provinces. Am J Trop Med
756	Hyg. 2019 Apr;100(4):943-951. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.18-0394. PMID: 30793684;
757	PMCID: PMC6447101.
758	
759	36.https://dwasa.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/dwasa.portal.gov.bd/page/c0a3b
760	947_9ad9_429a_8a3f_e320e33fea06/2021-01-17-16-51
761	f23ad05cc0f676fe25cca345e2def230.pdf
762	
763	37. Cheesbrough M. In: Cheesbrough M (editor), District Laboratory Practice in
764	Tropical Countries. Part 2. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University
765	Press; 2000: pp. 116-164).
766	
767	38. Ghugare, G.S., Nair, A., Nimkande, V., Sarode, P., Rangari, P. and Khairnar, K.
768	(2017), Membrane filtration immobilization technique—a simple and novel method
769	for primary isolation and enrichment of bacteriophages. J Appl Microbiol, 122: 531-
770	539. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13344
771	
772	39. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, et al. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a
773	standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol. 1966;45(4):493–496
774	

medRxty prep40 dChime/doigned111100/2020194. Standardty this versice pocted SDill 10c2604:nitencesy Stathdardtor for preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 776 Antimicrobial Susceptibility/CustingC32nd/CdP CLSP satisfied M100; Clinical and

Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2022 (ISBN 978-1-68440-134-5 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-135-2 [Electronic].

779

41. Muntean MM, Muntean AA, Preda M, Manolescu LSC, Dragomirescu C, Popa
MI, Popa GL. Phenotypic and genotypic detection methods for antimicrobial
resistance in ESKAPE pathogens (Review). Exp Ther Med. 2022 Jun 9;24(2):508.
doi: 10.3892/etm.2022.11435. PMID: 35837033; PMCID: PMC9257796.

784

42. Collee, J.G., Miles, R.S. and Watt, B. (1996) Tests for the Identification of
Bacteria. In: Collee, J.G., Marmion, B.P., Fraser, A.G. and Simmons, A., Eds.,
Mackie & McCartney Practical Medical Microbiology, 14th Edition, Churchill
Livingstone, New York, 131-151.

789

43. Ghatak S, Muthukumaran RB, Nachimuthu SK. A simple method of genomic
DNA extraction from human samples for PCR-RFLP analysis. J Biomol Tech. 2013
Dec;24(4):224-31. doi: 10.7171/jbt.13-2404-001. PMID: 24294115; PMCID:
PMC3792701.

794

44. PrasannaKumar, Chinnamani. (2023). Lab in Microbial genetics and Molecular
Biology. 10.13140/RG.2.2.33417.52326.

797

45. Van TT, Chin J, Chapman T, Tran LT, Coloe PJ. Safety of raw meat and shellfish
in Vietnam: an analysis of Escherichia coli isolations for antibiotic resistance and
virulence genes. Int J Food Microbiol. 2008 Jun 10;124(3):217-23. doi:
10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.03.029. Epub 2008 Mar 31. PMID: 18457892.

802

46. Castanheira M, Deshpande LM, Mathai D, Bell JM, Jones RN, Mendes RE. Early
dissemination of NDM-1- and OXA-181-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Indian
hospitals: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 2006-2007.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011 Mar;55(3):1274-8. doi: 10.1128/AAC.0149710. Epub 2010 Dec 28. PMID: 21189345; PMCID: PMC3067112.

808

47. Fallah F, Noori M, Hashemi A, Goudarzi H, Karimi A, Erfanimanesh S, Alimehr
S. Prevalence of bla NDM, bla PER, bla VEB, bla IMP, and bla VIM Genes among
Acinetobacter baumannii Isolated from Two Hospitals of Tehran, Iran. Scientifica
(Cairo). 2014;2014:245162. doi: 10.1155/2014/245162. Epub 2014 Jul 15. PMID:
25133013; PMCID: PMC4123593.

814

815 48. Fateh Rahimi, Mohammad Katouli, Mohammad R. Pourshafie; Characterization 816 of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains in sewage treatment plants in 817 Tehran, Iran. J Water *Health* 1 April 2021; 19 (2): 216-228. 818 doi: https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2021.247 819

me <b>(R2(i)</b> pro (which wa 821	ep49 do Mores de Condition / 1/1/1/24. Be19: https://www.stander.com/docted.com/doc
822	hospital environments, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2014 Apr 5;7:215. doi:
823	10.1186/1756-0500-7-215. PMID: 24708553; PMCID: PMC4234977.
824	
825	50. Pant, N.D., Poudyal, N. & Bhattacharya, S.K. Bacteriological quality of bottled
826	drinking water versus municipal tap water in Dharan municipality, Nepal. J Health
827	Popul Nutr 35, 17 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-016-0054-0
828	
829	51. Duquino HH, Rosenberg FA. Antibiotic-resistant Pseudomonas in bottled
830	drinking water. Can J Microbiol 1987;33(4):286–289.
831	
832	52. Vaz-Moreira I, Nunes OC, Manaia CM. Diversity and antibiotic resistance in
833	Pseudomonas spp. from drinking water. Sci Total Environ. 2012;426:366–374.
834	
835	53. Papapetropoulou M, Iliopoulou J, Rodopoulou G, Detorakis J, Paniara O.
836	Occurrence and antibiotic-resistance of Pseudomonas species isolated from drinking
837	water in southern Greece. J Chemother 1994;6(2):111–116.
838	
839	54. Mulamattathil SG, Bezuidenhout C, Mbewe M, Ateba CN. Isolation of
840	environmental bacteria from surface and drinking water in mafikeng, South Africa,
841	and characterization using their antibiotic resistance profiles. J Pathog.
842	2014;2014:371208. doi: 10.1155/2014/371208. Epub 2014 Jul 6. PMID: 25105027;
843	PMCID: PMC4106082.
844	
845	55.Keller R, Pedroso MZ, Ritchmann R et al. Occurrence of virulence-associated
846	properties in Enterobacter cloacae. Infect Immun. 1998;66(2):645–649.
847	
848	56. Bhumbla U, Majumdar S, Jain S, Dalal AS. A study of isolation and identification
849	of bacteria from lake water in and around Udaipur, Rajasthan. J Family Med Prim
850	Care. 2020 Feb 28;9(2):751-754. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_1032_19. PMID:
851	32318414; PMCID: PMC7113958.
852	
853	57. Deji-Agboola MA, Museliu O, Olajubu FA, Osinupebi OA, Idowu AO.
854	Bacteriological analysis of potable water in areas with reported cholera outbreaks in
855	Ogun, Oyo and Lagos states, Nigeria. Ann Health Res 2017;3(2):105–111.
856	
857	58. Rajanbir Kaur, Drishtant Singh, Anup Kumar Kesavan, Rajinder Kaur, Molecular
858	characterization and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial isolates present in tap
859	water of public toilets, International Health, Volume 12, Issue 5, September 2020,
860	Pages 472–483, https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihz074
861	
862	59. Ekhaise FO, Omavwoya BP (2008). Influence of hospital wastewater discharged
863	from university of Benin teaching hospital (UBTH), Benin City on its receiving

meo <b>876</b> ⊭µpre (which wa 865	epent vigion module of the fill an Eutrasian of the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 4(4):484-488. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.
866	
867	60. Narciso-da-Rocha C, Vaz-Moreira I, Svensson-Stadler L, Moore ER, Manaia CM.
868	Diversity and antibiotic resistance of Acinetobacter spp. in water from the source to
869	the tap. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2013;97(1):329–340.
870	
871	61. Poonia S, Singh TS, Tsering DC. Antibiotic susceptibility profile of bacteria
872	isolated from natural sources of water from rural areas of East Sikkim. Indian J
873	Community Med. 2014;39(3):156–60.
874	
875	62. Xi C, Zhang Y, Marrs CF, et al. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance in drinking
876	water treatment and distribution systems. Appl Environ Microbiol.
877	2009;75(17):5714-8.
878	
879	63. Bergeron S, Boopathy R, Nathaniel R, Corbin A, LaFleur G. Presence of
880	antibiotic resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes in raw source water
881	and treated drinking water. Int Biodeter Biodegr 2015;102:370–374.
882	
883	64. Khan S, Beattie TK, Knapp CW. Relationship between antibiotic- and
884	disinfectant-resistance profiles in bacteria harvested from tap water.
885	Chemosphere. 2016;152:132–141.
886	
887	65. Laffite A, Kilunga PI, Kayembe JM, Devarajan N, Mulaji CK, Giuliani G,
888	Slaveykova VI, Pote J. Hospital effluents are one of several sources of metal,
889	antibiotic resistance genes, and bacterial markers disseminated in Sub-Saharan
890	urban rivers. Frontiers in microbiology. 2016 Jul 22;7:1128.
891	
892	66. Reddy KR, Khaleel R, Overcash MR. Behavior and transport of microbial pathogens
893	and indicator organisms in soils treated with organic wastes. J Environ Qual.
894	1981;10(3):255–266
895	67. Mackul'ak T, Cverenkárová K, Vojs Staňová A, Fehér M, Tamáš M, Škulcová AB,
896	Gál M, Naumowicz M, Špalková V, Bírošová L. Hospital wastewater—Source of specific
897	micropollutants, antibiotic-resistant microorganisms, viruses, and their elimination.
898	Antibiotics. 2021 Sep 4;10(9):1070.
899	
900	68. Naresh D, Thilo K, Periyasamy S, Christian van D, Crispin KM, Pius TM, Bastiaan
901 902	WI, John P, Antibiotic resistant Pseudomonas spp. in the aquatic environment: A prevalence study under tropical and temperate climate conditions, Water Research,
902 903	Volume 115, 2017, Pages 256-265, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.02.058</u> .
903 904	· oranie 115, 2017, 1 ages 250-205, <u>https://doi.org/10.1010/j.walles.2017.02.050</u> .

me905 prep69.dSinipan//Santajit.1 Nitaga Untirawattanathis Meetimpoistans April 12.12020.dit point in preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. 906 ESKAPE Pathogeometad Baratabeter Research Binternational prodor 2016; Article ID 2475067, 8

- 907 pages, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2475067
- 908
- 909 2011 70.Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality, Fourth Edition.
- 910 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44584/9789241548151\_eng.pdf
- 911

912 71. Murei, A., Kamika, I., Samie, A. et al. Assessment of the water sources for potential 913 channels of faecal contamination within Vhembe District Municipality using sanitary 914 inspections and hydrogen sulphide test. Sci Rep 13, 6250 (2023).915 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-33551-y

916

917 72. Alemayehu TA, Weldetinsae A, Dinssa DA, Derra FA, Bedada TL, Asefa YB,

- 918 Mengesha SD, Alemu ZA, Serte MG, Teklu KT, Woldegabriel MG, Kenea MA, van den
- 919 Berg H, de Roda Husman AM. Sanitary condition and its microbiological quality of
- 920 improved water sources in the Southern Region of Ethiopia. Environ Monit Assess. 2020
- 921 Apr 30;192(5):319. doi: 10.1007/s10661-020-08297-z. PMID: 32356229; PMCID:
- 922 PMC7192860.